

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/552,699	UITTO, VESA	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kenneth Thompson	3672	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

(2) Elaine P. Spector.

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
N/A

**Claims discussed:**  
1, 19 and 20

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
Savage et al., U.S. 4,573,805

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
Applicant's Attorney and the Examiner agreed to amend claim 1 to give life to the portability of the device to overcome the newly found prior art above. Agreement was made to cancell the new claims as being non-elected by original presentation.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Kenneth Thompson/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

**Status of Application:** Admendment after non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:30

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)